United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/771,090	02/03/2004	Daniel T. Johnson	14063.01	1950
7590 02/28/2007 Sean D. Solberg DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP Suite 1500 50 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402-1498			EXAMINER	
			O'CONNOR, GERALD J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3627	-
		·		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
2 MONTHS		02/28/2007	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/771,090	Johnson et al.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	O'Connor	3627
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day, will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 6</u> is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>none</u> is/are withdous 5) □ Claim(s) <u>is/are allowed.</u> 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 6</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on July 9, 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the order of	a) accepted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO_413)
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

Art Unit: 3627 Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Preliminary Remarks

- 1. This Office action responds to the amendment and arguments filed by applicant on June 5, 2006 in reply to the previous Office action on the merits, mailed March 1, 2006, and to the amendment and election filed by applicant on October 25, 2006 in reply to the Office action setting forth a requirement for restriction, mailed August 25, 2006.
- 2. The amendment of claims 1 and 2 by applicant in the reply filed June 5, 2006 is hereby acknowledged.
- 3. The addition of claim 6 by applicant in the reply filed June 5, 2006 is hereby acknowledged.

Election/Restriction

- 4. Applicant's election without traverse of the invention of Group I, claims 1 and 6, in the reply filed October 25, 2006 is hereby acknowledged.
- 5. The cancellation of non-elected claims 2-5 by applicant in the reply filed October 25, 2006 is hereby acknowledged.

Art Unit: 3627 Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in-
 - (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
 - (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 7. Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McMahon (US 5,956,658).

McMahon discloses a system for collecting information relating to equipment located at a site, the system comprising: a first database including legacy data relating to at least a portion of the equipment; a server including software for importing the legacy data into a specified data structure and for exporting the specified data structure and a survey process; and a mobile survey device for receiving the specified data structure and the survey process and the legacy data prior to performing a survey and for guiding a user in collecting the information; wherein the specified data structure includes a set of equipment categories and a set of attributes relating to each category.

Art Unit: 3627 Page 4

Regarding claim 6, the survey device of McMahon is further configured to communicate the information collected to the server.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scribner et al. (US 4,688,026), in view of Manzi et al. (US 6,298,333).

Scribner et al. disclose a system for collecting information relating to equipment located at a site, the system comprising: loading equipment data into a server (see step 212) and a collection device (108; see column 6, lines 35-40) and operating the collection device (mobile device; 108) to survey equipment assets located at the site and create a site equipment database. It is noted that the system of Scribner et al. identifies the equipment (see, for example, Figure 3, "1982 Drexel Couch"), but Scribner et al. fail to explicitly disclose that the specified data includes a set of equipment categories and a set of attributes relating to each category.

However, Manzi et al. teach the use of recording for each item of equipment comprising an equipment category (see Abstract).

Art Unit: 3627 Page 5

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have modified the system of Scribner et al. so as to provide for equipment categories, in accordance with the teachings of Manzi et al., in order to allow the organization to better track equipment for accounting purposes (depreciation, taxes, etc.), by recording equipment category information associated with the equipment.

Regarding claim 6, the survey device 108 of Scribner et al. is further configured to communicate the information collected to the server 212.

Response to Arguments

- 10. Applicant's arguments filed June 5, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not deemed persuasive.
- 11. Regarding the arguments against the references individually (i.e., that the claims are not anticipated by either of the individual references), one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Art Unit: 3627 Page 6

Conclusion

- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to the disclosure.
- 13. Applicant's amendment necessitated any new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication, or earlier communications, should be directed to the examiner, **Jerry O'Connor**, whose telephone number is (571) 272-6787, and whose facsimile number is (571) 273-6787.

Official replies to this Office action may now be submitted electronically by registered users of the EFS-Web system. Information on EFS-Web tools is available on the Internet at: http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/portal/tools.htm. An EFS-Web Quick-Start Guide is available at: http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/portal/efs/quick-start.pdf.

Art Unit: 3627 Page 7

Alternatively, official replies to this Office action may still be submitted by any *one* of fax, mail, or hand delivery. **Faxed replies should be directed to the central fax at (571) 273-8300**. Mailed replies should be addressed to "Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450." Hand delivered replies should be delivered to the "Customer Service Window, Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314."

GJOC

February 27, 2007

Gerald J. O'Connor

Primary Examiner

Group Art Unit 3627